Isolation Of Microorganisms Associated With Urinary Tract Infection In Diabetic Patients In Libya And Their Antibotic Susceptibility Pattern

A. M. Abu Setta* and A. M. Doma**

*Pathology Department, Faculty of Medical Technology, EL-Fatteh university

** Clinical Pharmacy Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, EL-Margeb university

Libya

Abstract

Urinary tract infection is a serious health problem especially in diabetic patients. The aim of our study was to isolate the microorganisms associated with urinary tract infection in diabetic patients and to determine their antibiotic susceptibility pattern. Our study was carried on seventy eight diabetic patients, dependent and undependent on insulin. They were from different age groups ranged from 30-70 years of males and 35-60 years of females. Urinary samples were collected from these groups and urine examination culture and biochemical reactions were performed to detect different organisms causing urinary tract infection. Also antibiotic sensitivity test was performed to detect the most sensitive antibiotic against these organisms. We detected fifty patients out of seventy eight had urinary tract infection. The most common organisms detected were identified as **Escherichia coli**, **Klebsiella aerogense** and **Pseudomonas aeroginosa**. Also we found that the most sensitive antibiotic were nitrofurrantion (68%) followed by noroxin (60%) septrin sulfatrimero (30%), cephradine (28%), ampicillin (24%) and amikin (20%).

Introduction

Urinary tract infection is a serious public health problem, in general practice 12 from 1000 consultations are suffer from urinary tract infection. Also the statistics reveals an increase deaths from infection of the kidney (Asscher and Finkle, 1998). Kass (1998) reported that there are difficulties in the management of these infections. Chodirker et al. (1996) found that human urine lacks both humoral and cellular defensive mechanisms against bacterial invasion, whereas tears, saliva and bronchial secretions contain lysozyme.

A urinary tract infection (UTI) is a condition where one or more structures in the urinary tract becomes infected after bacteria overcome its strong natural defenses. In spite of these defenses, UTIs are the most common of all infections and can occur at any time in the life of an individual. Almost 95% of cases of UTIs are caused by bacteria that typically multiply at the opening of the urethra and travel up to the bladder. Much less often, bacteria spread to the kidney from the blood stream (Valkenburg, 1993).

In a study of aerobic culture of 1281 urine samples sent from the out patients as

well as inpatient department of the SMHS hospital to the microbiology laboratory of the Govt. medical college in England pathological The ,1997). (Strinager microbes isolated from patients samples were identified as. E.coli, Klebsiella and also Pseudomonas. sp. They found that each of them was sensitive to most of antibiotics but with different percentages. Presence of increased amounts of glucose in the urine resulting in more rapid multiplication of bacteria (Asscher et al., 1999), on other words, the presence of glucose in the urine provides a better medium for multiplication of E.coli. This may be a factor in the severity of urinary tract infection in diabetics.

Dyer and Cotchin (1999), studied the microorganisms which cause urinary tract infection in diabetic patients which was very important and found how they can be treated using antibiotics such as nitrofurrantion, amikin, noroxin, septrin sulfatrimero, ampicillin, cephradine. Undoubtedly, frequencies and antibiotic patients of resistant bacteria in various countries are defendant upon the amount and kind of antibiotics being used (Ross et al., 1972 and

Toama et al., 1983). The most contributing factors for developing resistance were the excessive use and or abuse of antibiotics, both used as growth promoters in animal feeds and fat treatment and prophylaxis in humans and veterinary medicines (WHO, 1980 and Ryder et al., 1980).

The present investigation aimed to isolate and characterize the different organisms which are responsible for urinary tract infection in diabetic patient and to recognize most susceptible antibiotic used for treatment of these bacteria.

Material And Methods

Isolation of selected organisms:

Seventy eight diabetic patients were enrolled in this study, forty patients were insulin dependent and thirty eight patients were insulin independent. All these cases were suffering from urinary troubles, with the exception of six cases have no symptoms. All these patients were attending the outpatient clinic of Alzahra Hospital at Libya.

All the previous cases were subguided to the following:

- Collection of the urine:

To avoid contamination all patients were instructed how to collect clean midstream urine in a clean sterile test tube, external urinary organs were cleaned with water and disinfected by detol or alcohol before taking the samples. The urine samples were examined immediatly.

Examination of urine:

Urine samples collected from patients were centrifuged and cultured on nutrient agar media according to (Collee and Marr, 1989) and MacConkey's media (Sonnenwirth, 1980).

(1) Microscopical examination:

Half of one colony was taken by a sterile loop and spreaded over a slide and stained by Grams stain. Microscopical examination of the stained film was done to detect Gram – ve or +ve bacteria (Robert et al., 1991).

(2) Coliform organisms:

Tested organisms were primary suspected on the bases of the shape and

morphology of the rose pink colonies on MacConkey's medium and were further identified by its biochemical reaction.

E.coli, K. aerogense and aeroginosa were isolated from urine specimens and identified morphologically and biochemically by the conventional tests recommended by many investigators (Jawetz et al., 1980). In the absence of distinctive clinical features, diagnosis of K. aerogense infection should be based on the isolation of the organism from the urine (Blasser et al., 1982). In addition the absolute diagnosis of P.aeroginosa is achieved by isolation of the organism from the urine (Mata et al., 1969).

Effect of different antibiotics on pathogenic isolates

Different common antibiotics were used to show their effect on the isolated pathogenic bacterial organisms causing urinary tract infection in diabetic urine collected samples. The antibiotics used were cephradine (10 µg), ampicillin (25 µg), septrin sulfatrimero (25 µg), noroxin (10 µg), amikin (10 µg) and nitrofurrantion (30 µg) using standardized disc diffusion method, which was done as described by Bauer et al. (1986).

Determination of the minimum inhibitory concentration (MICs) and minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBCs) of different antibiotics against selected isolates.

The antibiotic that were tested by this method against different strains (*E.coli*, *Klebseilla* and *Pseudomonas*) included (Noroxin, Amikin, Nitrofurrantion and cephradine).

The stock solution of the four selected antibiotics was prepared to the concentration of 100/1 µg/ml. Each antibiotic suspension was diluted with sterile distilled water to obtain 1 µg/ml final concentration. This solution was prepared freshly for every experiment. All the tubes were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and examined for turbidity as an indicator for bacterial growth (Lowry, 1975). Data were recorded and analyzed using SPSS 6.0 software for statistical analysis.

Results

(1) Isolation of the microorganisms:

Results showed that 50 out of 78 samples of them were positive for the presence of *E.coli*, *K. aerogense and P. aeroginosa* and twenty eight samples were negative for E. *coli*, *K. aerogense and P. aeroginosa*. The highest percentage rate obtained was 85.71% of positive samples found in male aged from 61-70 years and the highest percentage rate of female samples reach to 83.33% at ages 46-50 years as illustrated in table (1).

Statistical analysis of results showed in table (2) revealed that there are significant correlation between the age of patients, sexes (male and female) and personal state and urinary tract infection, but these results were not significant with insulin dependence.

(2) Identification of bacterial isolates:

Three bacterial species *E. coli* or *K.,aerogense* and *P. aeroginosa* were isolated from urine samples of diabetes mellitus.

The clinical isolates were subjected to two patterns of identification according to the Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology (1989).

(3) The distribution of pathogenic isolate from positive urine samples:

Results in table (3) indicate that the number of infected positive diabetic urine samples collected from males and females were 29 samples infected with *E.coli*, 13 of *K.aerogense and 8 of P.aeroginosa* strains from positive collected samples. The highest percentage of distribution were found in E.coli (58%) followed by *K.aerogense* (26%) and *P.aeroginosa* (16%).

(4) Effect of different antibiotics on pathogenic isolates:

The results in table (4) revealed that the six isolates of *E. coli* were sensitive to cephradine, five isolates were sensitive to ampicillin, six isolates sensitive to septrin sulfatrimero, seventeen isolates sensitive to noroxin, five isolates were sensitive to amikin, twenty four isolates were sensitive

to nitofurrantion. The E.coli isolate numerated as fourty four was more sensitive to nitrofurrantion and E.coli isolate numerated five is more resistant to ampicillin and amakin, the same results indicate that the seven isolates of K.aerogense were sensitive to cephradine, five were sensitive to ampicillin, nine were sensitive to septrin sulfatrimero, nine were sensitive to noroxin, five were sensitive to amikin, were six sensitive nitrofurrantion.

These results also revealed that the two isolates of *P.aeroginosa* were sensitive to cephradine, two were sensitive to ampicillin, one was sensitive to septrin sulfatrimero, four were sensitive to noroxin, three was sensitive to nitrofurrantion and the *P.aeroginosa* numerated as twenty four was more sensitive to noroxin.

Sensitivity test of pathogenic isolates against different tested antibiotics:

The sensitivity test of pathogenic bacterial isolates against different tested antibiotic were illustrated in table (5). These results showed that the antibiotic nitrofurrantion was more effective against isolated pathogenic bacterial organisms (E.coli, K. aerogense and P. aeroginosa which the percentage of sensitive organism reach to 68% followed by noroxin 60%, septrin sulfatrimero 30%, cephradine 28%, ampicillin 24 % and amikin 20%.

Statistical analysis of sensitive and resistance pathogenic isolates against different antibiotics:

The results in table (6 a,b,c,d,e and f) showed that the sensitivity of each pathogenic bacterial isolate against each tested antibiotic to illustrate the obtained results were significant or not, all obtained results were significant and the percentage of sensitivity test against antibiotic nitofurrantion reach to maximum value with E.coli (55.9%) more than K.aerogense (23.5%) and P.aeroginosa (20.6%), the same results were obtained with antibiotic. noroxin Antibiotic sulfatrimero and cephradine were more effective in E.coli (60 % and 50 % respectively) more than K.aerogense (33.3% and 35% respectively) and P.aeroginosa(6.7% and 14.3% respectively).

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBC) of some antibiotics:

The experimental bacteria were treated separately with different concentration of the antibiotics under test in nutrient broth and on solid agar medium and the MICs and the MBCs were determined.

The obtained data recorded in table (7) revealed that the MICs of amikin (250µg/ml) more than MICs if birixub (125µg/ml) and nitrofurration (31.25µg/ml) and cephradine (15.63 µg/ml) for *P. aeroginose, K. aerogense* and *E.coli* respectively. MBCs of amikin, noroxin and nitrofurrantion (250µg/ml) more than cephredine (125µg/ml) for *K.aerogense*, *p.aeroginosa* and *E.coli*.

Table (1): Diabetic urine samples collected from different ages of male and female (positive samples infected with *E.coli* or *K. aerogense*, *P. aeroginosa*) Patients

Source of sample	Total	Positive	Percentage	insulin 1	Dependence	Marit	al states
Source of sample	samples	samples	(%)	Dependent	Independent	Single	Married
Age of males (years)			·				
30-35	12	7	58.33	3	9	2	10
36-40	8	5	62.50	2	,6	3	5
41-45	3	1	33.33	1	2	-	3
46-50	6	5	66.66	3	3	2	4
51-55	5	4	. 80.00	2	3	-	5
56-60	7	5	71,42	3	4	1	6
61-70	7	6	85.71	2	5	-	7
Age of females (years)			1				
35-40	8	3	37.5	3	5	2	6
41-45	5	2	40	3	2 .	1	4
46-50	6	5 .	83.33	2	4	-	6
51-55	4	3	75	-	4	-	4
56-60	7	4	57.14	-	7	-	7
	78	50					

Table (2): Statistical analysis and characteristics of the studied groups.

Character	Pos	itive samples	Neg	gative samples	Test of significant	P
$\frac{\text{Age}}{X} \pm \text{SD}$ Range	51.2 ±1 40.9-61		48.5 ± 11 37.3-59.7	.2	T 1.08	0.28 NS
Gender	NO	%	No	%	X^2	P
Male	32	46.0	19	67.9	0.12	0.73
Female	18	36.0	9	32.1		NS
Social status						
Lower	24	48.0	9	32.1	1.85	0.17
Middle	26	52.0	19	67.9	4	NS
Marital status						
Single	12	. 24.0	6	21.4	0.07	0.79
Married	38	79.0	22	78.6		NS
Insulin						
+ve	35	70.0	20	71.4	0.02	0.89
-ve	15	30.0	8	28.6		NS

No = Number

 X^2 = Test of significance (Chi – square)

P= probability

P>0.05 = Non significant

P < 0.05 = Significant

P < 0.01 = Highly significant

NS= Non significant

T = test of significant

A. M. Abu Setta & A. M. Doma

Table (3): The numerical distribution of pathogenic bacterial isolates from positive collected samples

Pathogenic bacterial isolate	Total samples	Positive samples	Percentage positive		Distr	ibution	
				Male	%	Female	%
E. coli	50	29	58	21	65.6	8	44.4
K. aerogenes	50	13	26	11	34.32	2	11.1
P. aeruginosae	50	8	16	5	15.61	3	16.6

Percentage of distribution = $\frac{positive \ samples}{Total \ samples} X100$

Table (4): Inhibition zone (mm) of different pathogenic bacterial isolates against different tested antibiotics.

No	Pathogenic Isolates	. (1	hradine 0 µg)	, (npicillin (25μg)	sulj	Septrin fatrimero (25µg)		roxin (µg)		nakin Oµg)	ti	furran- on Jµg)
1	E ask	IZ	S	IZ	S	IZ	S	IZ	S	IZ	Ş	IZ	S
2	E.coli E.coli	19	S	20	S	12	R	12	R	12	R	20	S
3		14	S	11	R	9	R	30	S	12	R	20	S
	k.aerogense	24	S	32	S	30	S	32	S	8	R	12	R
4	E.coli	10	R	_	ND	11	R	29	S	12	R	18	S
5	E.coli	11	R		ND	12	R	_11	R		ND	20	S
6	P.aeroginosa	19	S	20	S	11	R	30	S	10	R	20	S
7	P.aeroginosa		ND		ND		ND	30	S	11	R	N	Ď
8	K.aerogense	_	ND		ND	32	S	10	R	9	R	21	S
9	E.coli	12	R	13	R	8	R	30	S	1	VD QV	20	S
10	K.aerogense	12	R	12	R	11	R	32	S	1	VD QV	10	R
11	E.coli	16	S	8	R	11	R	9	R	12	R	21	S
12	P.aeroginosaene s	11	R	18	S	29	S	3,0	S	_	ND	21	S
13	E.coli	12	R	12	R	29	S	12	R	11	R	19	s
14	K.aerogense	8	R	10	R	30	S	30	S	31	S	20	S
15	E.coli	11	R	11	R	12	R	11	R	10	R	19	S
16	E.coli	12	R	8	R	12	R	30	S		ND .	21	S
17	K.aerogense	16	· S	12	R	8	R	30	S		ND ND	11	R
18	P.aeroginosa	N	1D		ND		ND	11	R	12	R	N	_
19	E.coli	11	R	13	S	11	R	- 8	R	11	Ŕ	20	S
20	K.aerogense	10	R	22	S	30	S	10	R	10	R	20	S
21	E.coli	N	ID I	_	ND		ND	N		10	R	N	
22	E.coli	11	R		ND	12	R	30	S	12	R	20	S

Continous Table (4)

					4				-			7.0	
23	P.aeroginosa	ND		ND		10	R	11	R	12	R	ND	
24	P.aeroginosa	21	S	11	R	12	R	31	S	ND		20	S
25	K. aerogense	22	S	29	S	25	S	30	S	11	R	12	R
26	E.coli	11	R	18	S	12	R	29	S	10	R	19	S
27	P.aeroginosa	ND		ND		ND		12	R	8	R	ND	
28	E.coli	12	R	10	R	9	R	11	R	ND		17	S
29	E.coli	14	S	10	R	29	S	30	S	11	R	21	S
30	P.aeroginosa	ND		ND		ND		ND		12	R	ND	
31	E.coli	12	R	18	S	12	R	12	R	23	S	20	S
32	K. aerogense	10	S	ND		31	S	11	R	30	S	21	S
33	E.coli	ND		ND		ND		11	R	30	S	21	S
34	E.coli	11	R	12	R	10	R	ND		12.	R	21	S
35	K. aerogense	20	S	30	S	12	R	30	S	24	S	12	R
36	E.coli	ND		ND		ND		30	S	10	R	ND	
37	K. aerogense	12	R	ND		30	S	30	S	8	R	19	S
38	E.coli	11	R	11	R	29	S	30	S	31	S	20	S
39	E.coli	20	S	11	R	33	S	ND		11	R	12	R
40	E.coli	11	R	12	R	ND		29	S	23	S	20	S
41	E.coli	12	R	ND		ND		31	S	21	S	21	S
42	K. aerogense	21	S	ND		33	S	35	S	25	S	11	R
43	E.coli	11	R	11	R	ND		30	S	28	S	20	S
44	E.coli	12	R	12	R	11	R	32	S	12		22	S
45	K. aerogense	10	R	8	R	33	S	35	S	30	S	20	S
46	E.coli	12	R	ND		10	R	31	S	ND		21	S
47	E.coli	20	S	37	S	12	R	32	S	ND		11	R
48	E.coli	12	R	ND		8	R	32	S	10	R	21	S
49	E.coli	12	R	ND		30	S	30	S	ND		20	S
50	K. aerogense	27	S	27	S	10	R	12	R	11	R	12	R

S= Sensitive , R= Resistance , IZ= Inhibition zone (mm) , ND= Not detected (0.00)

Table (5): Sensitivity test of pathogenic isolates against different antibiotics

	Sensitive iso	Sensitive isolate		
Tested antibiotic	No	%	No	%
Nitrofurrantion (F)	34	68.0	16	32,0
Amikin (AK)	10	20.0	40	80.0
Noroxin (NOR)	30	60.0	20	40.0
Septrin sulfatrimero (SXT)	15	30.0	35	70.0
Ampiellin (Am)	12	24.0	38	76.0
Cephradine (Ce)	14	28.0	36	72.0

Table (6): Statistical analysis of sensitive and resistant pathogenic bacterial isolates against different antibiotics.

a) Antibiotic nitrofurrantion

Want of atomics	Sensitiv	Resi	stant	V2 .	D	
Tested strains	No.	%	No.	%	A '	F
E.coli	19	55.9	10	62.5		
K. aerogense	8	23.5	5	31.3	1.73 0.4	NS
P. aeroginosa	7	20.6	1	6.3		

A. M. Abu Setta & A. M. Doma

b) Antibiotic amakin

	Sensitive	Resist	ant	V2	D	
Tested strains	No.	%	No.	%	A	
E.coli	5	50.0	24	60.0		
K. aerogense	5	50.0	8	20.0	4.91 0.08	NS
P. aeroginosa	0.0	0.0	8	20.0		

c) Antibiotic noroxin

1	Sensitive		Resista	int	\mathbf{v}^2	p
Tested strains	No.	%	No.	%	^	
E.coli	17	56.7	12	60.0		
K. aerogense	9	30.0	4	20.0	0.82 0.6	NS
P. aeroginosa	4	13.3	4	20.0		

d) Antibiotic septrin sulfatrimero

m-4-1-4-b-	Sensitive		Resista	ant	\mathbf{Y}^2	P
Tested strains	No.	%	No	%		
E.coli	9	60.0	4	11.4		
K. aerogense	5	33.3	24	68.6	12.9<0.01	Significant
P. aeroginosa	1.	6.7	7	20.0		

e) Antibiotic ampicillin

	Sensitive		Resistant		~v2		D
Tested strains	No.	%	No.	%	^_		1
E.coli	5 -	417	8	21.0			
K. aerogense	5	41.7	24	63.2	2.2	0.32	NS
P. aeroginosa	2	16.7	6	15.8		$=\chi$	

f) Antibiotic cephradine

Total tale	Sensitive	Resista	ant		TD QT	
Tested strains	No.	%	No.	%	^	
E.coli	7	50.0	6	16.7		
K. aerogense	5	35.7	24	66.7	6.01 0.04	Significant
P. aeroginosa	2	14.3	6	16.7		

Table (7): Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) (µg/ml) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of different antibiotics.

Organism used	(MIC) (µg/ml)		(MBC) (μg/ml)	
K.aerogense .	Noroxin 125 Amikin 250		Noroxin 250 Amikin 250	
P.aeroginosa				
E.coli	Nitrofurration 31.25	Cephradine 15.625	Nitrofurrantion 250	Cephradine 125

Discussion

Diabetes mellitus is one of the diseases which is often said to be associated with a marked increase in the incidence of urinary tract infection. The most widely used method of obtaining urine samples for culture is by clean midstream urine. The incidence of urinary tract infection with diabetes mellitus is higher in old age group (above 50 years) and this higher incidence is due to chronicity of disease and the presence of increased amount of glucose in the urine resulting in more, rapid multiplication of bacteria (Asscher et al., 1995), on the other hand, it is the better media for multiplication of microorganisms especially E.coli.

E.coli strains are a heterogeneous group of microorganisms with a wide spectrum of interactions with host (human and animal). These strains range from non-pathogens or normal host flora to true pathogens and include opportunistic organisms such as uropathogenic E.coli (Donnenberg and Kaaper, 1992 and Nataro and Levine, 1994).

In this investigation, 50 samples out of 78 diabetes mellitus cases were harboring *E.coli*, *K.aerogense* or *P.aeroginosa* (table 1). The specimens were collected from patients attending the outpatient clinics of Zagazig university and tropical diseases hospital.

The emergence of antibiotic resistant microorganisms is one of the most dangerous phenomena of the last twenty years and several strategies have been proposed to try to understand. The appearance of new opportunistic microorganisms often multi-resistnat and the developing resistance to antibiotics in well known pathogens (Stephani and Agodi, 2000). In both cases the answer is the acquisition and spread of a variety of antimicrobial determinants resulting from mutation of normal cellular genes, acquisition of foreign resistant genes or a combination of these two mechanisms (Courvalin, 1999).

In this investigation, the eight strains of *P.aeroginosa* were tested against 6 different antimicrobial chemotherapeutic agents. All isolates were found to be

sensitive to all antimicrobial agents under test. A high sensitivity has been expressed by *P.aeroginosa* strains against noroxin while the lowest activity showed towards nitofurrantion, septrin sulfatrimero, ampicillin and cephradine. In other studies performed, *P.aeroginosa* strains were susceptible to amikin (Mikhail *et al.*, 1990).

It is evident from table (4) that about 3 among 29 isolates (10.3%) were sensitive to cephradine, 4 out of 29 isolates (13.8 %) were sensitive to ampicillin, 5 out of 29 isolates (17.2%) were sensitive to septrin. sulfatrimero and also to amikin, 17 of isolates (58.6%) were sensitive to noroxine and 24 (82.8%) isolates were sensitive to nitofurrantion. The rest of isolate species of E.coli were resistant to tested antibiotics. of E.colitoward resistance nitrofurrantion were usually chromosomally mediated (rather than the transferble ones mediated by plasmids (Ahmed, 2004) it may explain our findings that 82.8% of isolates under test were nitrofurrantion sensitive.

Results of susceptibility of Klebseilla aerogense against antimicrobial chemotherapeutic agents in present study showed that, all tested isolates were sensitive to cephradine. noroxin. amakin, sulfatrimero, ampicillin and nitrofurrantion. A high sensitivity has been expressed by against septrin K.aerogense strains cephradine and sulfatrimero, noroxin, nitrofurrantion. While the lowest sensitivity showed by ampicillin and amakin, the of choice against classical drugs K.aerogense infection, is very rare in naturally occurring by K. aerogense (Terakado et al., 1975 and Spika et al., 1987). Waffa et al. (1998) reported that the resistance of K. aerogense toward ampicillin was 39% and with respect to the high level of resistance to ampecllin. Kambal (1996) from Saudi Arabia and Lee et al. (1994) from USA and Ling et al. (1991) from Hong kong indicated that their isolates of K.aerogense were significantly resistant than present strain.

In most developing countries antibiotics are available without a prescription as in Egypt and the need for

public awareness against the misuse of antibiotics is important, simultaneously, some useful but expensive drugs such as cephradine should be used with caution to prevent or slow down the emergence of drug resistance which seems inevitable with wide spread on indiscriminate use.

References

- 1. Ahmed FM (2004): Antimicrobial studies of some essential oils on some bacteria; Master Degree; Botany Department; Faculty of Science; Zagazig university.
- Asscher A, Kokkini S and Lanaras T (1995): Antifungal activities of origanum Vulgare subsp. Hirtum; Mentha spicata Lavandule an gustifolia and sallvia fruticosa essential oils against human pathogenic Fung. J.Agric.Food Chem. 46: 1739-1745.
- 3. Asscher DB and Finkel G (1998): Candida pyelonephritis in Kass B.H.; editor: Progress in Pyelonephritis; philadlephia; F.A. Davis Co.; pp. 179-184.
- Asscher N, Legakis NJ, Papavassilion J and Sifalakis J (1999): Sterilization of urological instruments infected with Pseudomonasa aeroginosa; Dept. Microbiol. Fac.Med., Univ. Athens. Actampicrobiol Hellen (285-291).
- Bauer AW, Kirby WM, Sherris JC and Turk M (1986): Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standerized single disk method Am. J. of Cl. Pathology; 45: 493-496.
- 6. Blasser MJ, Huq MI, Glass RI, Zimicki S and Brikness KA (1982): Salmonellosis in rural and urban clinics in Bangladesh. Epidemiologic and clinical characteristics. Amer. J. Epidemiology, 116: 266-275.
- 7. Chodirker CR, Hewitt WL and Lenguze LB (1996): An out break of infection caused by gentamicin resistant Staphylococcus aureus; Pyelonephritis; Nedicine; 39: 3.
- Collee JG and Marr W (1989): Culture containers and culture media. In Practical Medical Microbiology 13th ed. Vol.(2). Collee; JG: Duguid JP: Fraser AG; and Marmion; B.P. (eds); Churchill Livingstone. New York Pp. 100-120.
- Courvalin P (1999): Transfer of antibiotic resistance genes between Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Antimicrob. Agent and Chemother. 38: 1447-1451.
- Donnenberg M and Kapper J (1992): Minire view: Entero pathogenic E.coli infection, immunity 60:93-53.
- 11. Dyer R and Cotchin B (1999): studies on coryne bacterium; venale II. The

- experimental pahtogenicity for uric. J. Comp. Path.; 56: 205-214.
- Jawetz D, Nachman S and Tomasz A
 (1980): Identification of an outer member protein of E.coli with a role in the Corrdination of deoxyribonucleic acid replication and cell elongation. J. Bact; 136: 1143.
- Kambal AM (1996): Antimicrobial susceptibility and serogroups of Salmonella isolates from Riyadh; Saudi Arabia. Int J Antimicob Agents 7: 265-269.
- Kass DD (1998): Relation of urinary tract infection to diabetic children. Nrit. J. Urol.; 37: 73.
- Lee LL, Puher ND, Maloney EK, Bean NH and Tauxe RV (1994): Increase in antimicrobial resistant Salmonella infection in the United States; 1989-1990. J Infect Dis. 170: 128-134.
- Ling J, Zhou GM, Woo TH and French GL (1991): Antimicrobial susceptibilities and b-Lactamase production of Hong kong isolates of gastroentereic Salmonellae and Salmonella typhi. J Antimicrob Chemother. 28: 877-885.
- 17. Lowry SJ (1975): Diarrheal disease: Current concepts and future challenges. Enterodherent E.coli: A heterogenous group of E.coli implicated as diarrheal pathogens. Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 87: 549-553.
- Mata LJ, Urrutia JI and Garcia B (1969): Shigella infection in breast feed Guatemalian Indian neonates. Amer.J. Dis.Child; 117: 142.
- 19. Mikhail IA, Fox E, Haberberger RL, Ahmed MH and Abbatte EA (1990): Epidemiology of bacterial pathogens associated with infection diarrhea in Djibouti J. Clin. Microbial . 28(5): 956-961.
- Nataro JP and Levine MM (1994):
 Bacterial diarrheas; P. 697-752; in A. Z.
 Kapikian (ed); Viral infection of
 gastrointestinal tract; 2nd ed. Marcel
 Dekker; Inc.; New York, NY.
- Robert CR, Valkenburg HA, Haverkorn, MJ, Goslings WH (1991): A prospective study of urinary tract infection in a Dutch general practice. Lancet 2: 674-7.
- Ross S, Contronic G and Khan W (1972): Resistance of Shigella to ampicillin and other antibiotics. Its clinical and epidemiological applications. JAMA. 221: 45-47.
- 23. Ryder RW, Blake PA, Murlin AC, Carter GP, Pollard RA, Merson MH, Allen SD and Brenner DJ (1980): Increase in antibiotic resistance among

Isolation Of Microorganisms Associated With Urinary Tract.....

- isolated of Salmonella in the USA; 1967-1975. J. Infection. Dis. 142(4): 485-491.
- Sonnenwirth AC (1980): Gradwohol's Clincial Laboratory Methods and Diagnosis Vol. 2; 8th ed. Sonnenwirth; AC and Jarett L.(eds). The CV Mosby Co. London; Tornto.
- 25. Spika JS, Waterman SH, SooHoo GW, St Louis ME, Pager RE, James SM, Bissett ML and Others (1987): Cloramphenicol- resistant Salmonella newport traced through Hamburger to dairy farms. New Eng. J.Med. 316(10): 565-570.
- Stephani S and Agodi A (2000): Molecular epidemiology of antibiotic resistance. Int.J. Antimicrob. Agents. 13: 143-153
- 27. Strinager PJ (1997): A comparison of the urinary white cell concentration with the white cell excretion rate. Br.J.Urol.; 36: 360-363.
- 28. Terakado N, Azechi H, Koyama N, Sato G and Mitsuhashi S (1975): Demonstraiton of R factors with

- chloramphenicol resistance in Salmonella strains isolated from domestic animals. In Microbial Drug Resistance. Mitsuhashi; S and Hashimoto H (eds) University Park Press. Tokyo; London.PP. 253-260.
- 29. Toama MA, El-Kersh TA and Ahmady AM (1983): Antibiotic resistance pattern and resistance curing of E.coli locally isolated. Bulletin of Faculty of pharmacy; Cairo University, Vol. 22 (1): 129-146.
- 30. Valkenburg RR (1993): The significance of pyuria in the diagnosis of urinary tract infection. Br. M.J. 2: 280.
- 31. Waffaa YJ, Pal T, Rotimi VO and Chugh TD (1998): Serogroups and antimicrobial susceptibility of clinical isolates of Salmonella species from a teaching hospital in Kuwait. J Diarrheal Dis Res. 16 (3): 180-186
- 32. WHO (1980): World Health Organization. Enteric infection due to Campylobacter; Yersinia, Salmonella and Shigella. Report of the scientific working group Bullein of Who. S8: 519.

عزل بعض الكائنات الدقيقة التي تصيب الجهاز البولي لمرضي السكر وتأثير بعض المضادات الحيوية عليها

عبد الباسط محمد ابو سته و احمد معتوق دومة و هذه الباسط محمد ابو سته و احمد معتوق دومة و المسمولية الأمراض — كلية التقنية — جامعة المرقب. و الجماهيرية الليبية

إصابة الجهاز البولي مشكلة صحية هامة وخصوصا لمرضي السكر. أجريت هذه الدراسة علي 78 من المرضي الذين يعانون من مرض السكر والذين يعالجون بالانسولين والذين لم يعالجوا. هؤلاء المرضي من أعصار مختلفة تتراوح ما بين 30-70 عاما بالنسبة للرجال و 35-60 عاما بالنسبة للسيدات. تم جمع عينات البول من هؤلاء المرضي. تم فحص العينات مجهريا وإجراء التجارب الكيميائية الحيوية والفسيولوجية للتعرف علي الكائنات المختلفة التي تصيب الجهاز البولي. وقد تم إجراء اختبار الحساسية للمضادات الحيوية المختلفة للتعرف على أكثرها تأثيرا على هذه الكائنات.

وقد وجد أن 50 مريض من 78 مريض يعانون من أصابة الجهاز البولي. والكائنات التى تم التعرف عليها هي إيشيريشياكولاي, كليبسيلا إيروجينس والسودوموناس إيروجينوزا. ووجد أيضا أن النيتروفيران (68٪) هو أكثر المضادات الحيوية تاثيرا علي هذه الكائنات ثم يليه النوروكسين (60٪) ثم سبترين سلفا ترايميرو (30٪) ثم سيفرادين (28٪) ثم أمبيسيلين (24٪) ثم أميكين (20٪).